



REC'D 2 7 AUG 2004

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

International application No.   International filing date (kay/month/year)   Priority date (kay/month/year)	Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
PCT/US03/11010 10 April 2003 (10.04.2003) 10 April 2002 (10.04.2002) International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): CODE 1/28 and US CL: 210/667 Applicant THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability relations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VII Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  Date of submission of the demand  OS November 2003 (05.11.2003)  Date of submission of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Peter A. Huskoci		International filing date (day/mo			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  IPC(7): CO2F 1/28 and US Cl.: 210/667  Applicant  THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of  sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These amnexes consist of a total of  sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I					
Applicant  THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain defects in the international application  VII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mall Stop PCT, Atta: IPBA/US  Caministoer for Peters Peter A. Hruskoci	PCT/US03/11010	10 April 2003 (10.04.2003)	10 April 2002 (10.04.2002)		
Applicant  THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Peter A. Hruskoci	International Patent Classification (IPC)	or nanonal classification and if o			
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US  Peter A. Hrusloci					
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of					
Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.  2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain defects in the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/US  Peter A. Hruskoci	THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE	UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I	Examining Authority and	is transmitted to the applicant	according to Article 36.		
which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.  3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I	2. This REPORT consists of	f a total of Asheets, including	g this cover sheet.		
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I	which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made				
I Basis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A. Hruskoci	These annexes consist of	a total of sheets.			
II Priority III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents Peter A. Hruskoci	3. This report contains indic	ations relating to the following	g items:		
III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A, Hruskoci	I Basis of the rep	port			
IV	II Priority				
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A, Hruskoci	III Non-establishm	nent of report with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A. Hruskoci	IV Lack of unity of	of invention			
VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A, Hruskoci	V Reasoned states applicability; c	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VIII Certain observations on the international application  Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  O5 November 2003 (05.11.2003)  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A. Hruskoci	VI Certain docum	ents cited			
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Atta: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents  Date of completion of this report  Authorized officer Peter A. Hruskoci	VII Certain defects	in the international application	n		
05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Authorized officer  Peter A. Hruskoci	VIII Certain observ	ations on the international appl	lication		
05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)  Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)  Authorized officer  Peter A. Hruskoci					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Authorized officer  Peter A. Hruskoci	Date of submission of the demand	Dat	te of completion of this report		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents  Peter A. Hruskoci	05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)		August 2004 (16.08.2004)		
Commissioner for Patents Peter A. Hruskoci			horized officer		
	Commissioner for Patents		ter A. Hruskoci		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450  Telephone No. 571-272-0987					
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230  Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)					

INTERNATIONAL	MINARY	EXAMINATION	REPORT
HALEMAN HOLAM	20,222 (1,220)		

Internationa	application No.	
PCT/U	1010	
,		 

I.	Basis	of the report		
		regard to the elements of the international application:*		
•	M	the international application as originally filed.		
	岗	the description:		
	لحكا	pages 1-20 as originally filed		
		pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:		
	•	pages 21-23 , as originally filed		
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
	$\nabla$	the drawings:		
		pages 1-9, as originally filed		
		rages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
		the sequence listing part of the description:		
		pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
_	*****	pages NONE, filed with the letter of  h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the		
2.	Will lang	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	The	se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:		
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).		
	H	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
	H	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules		
	لـــا	55.2 and/or 55.3).		
3.	Wit	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the		
	inter	mational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the international application in printed form.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the		
		international application as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing		
		has been furnished.		
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages None		
		the claims, Nos. None		
		the drawings, sheets/fig None		
_ ا				
5	اــا ٠	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**		
*	Repla	acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in		
this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).				
*	* Any	replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.		
ı				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)

2. CITATIONS AND FOR ANATIONS
Claims 1-3, 9, and 12-14 la inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious of Misra et al. Misra et al. disclose (see col. 4 line 4 through col. 6 line 42, and col. 11 lines 18-37) a method for removing article from arsenic containing water (substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Misra et al. by reciting that the composition comprises a metal salt hydroxide-gel. It is submitted that the composition formed in the water of Misra et al. appears to include a gelatinous precipitate of lanthanum and It is submitted that the composition formed in the water of Misra et al. appears to include a gelatinous precipitate of lanthanum and ferric hydroxides, which is considered indistinguishable from the recited metal salt hydroxide-gel. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Misra et al. by utilizing the recited composition, to aid in removing arsenic from water.

Claims 4, 5, 8, and 24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Misra et al. as above, and further in view of Wang et al. The claims differ from Misra et al. as applied above by reciting the use of a DE coated filter, or a diatomaceous earth filter. Wang et al. disclose (see col. 2 line 50 through col. 3 line 26) that it is known in the art to utilize a diatomaceous earth filter to aid in removing contaminants from water. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Misra et al. by utilizing the recited filters in view of the teachings of Wang et al., to aid in separating water from the composition.

Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 15-26 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Misra et al. in view of Wang et al. as above, and further in view of Mills. The claims differ from the references as applied above by reciting the use of a DE filter bed, or DE coated hydroxide gels. Mills disclose (see col. 4 line 56 through col. 8 line 28) that it is known in the art to utilize a diatomaceous earth filter bed, and a composition including diatomaceous earth, aluminum hydroxide, and lanthamum chloride, to aid in filtering water, and in removing contaminants such as dissolved phosphate from water. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method the references as applied above by utilizing the recited filter bed and composition in view of the teachings of Mills, to aid in filtering water, and in separating dissolved contaminants from the water. The specific concentration, contact time, pH, and weight ratios utilized, would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific water treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Claims 27 and 36-38 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Farrah. Farrah disclose (see col. 3 line 52 through col. 5 line 48) a method for removing heavy metals from heavy metal-containing solution, and method for making a DE-coated hydroxide substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Farrah by reciting that the solution is contacted with a metal salt hydroxide-gel, and the method for making forms a DE-coated hydroxide-gel. It is submitted that the adsorptive material utilized in Farrah appears to include ferric and aluminum hydroxides which are considered to be indistinguishable from the recited metal salt hydroxide-gel. It is further submitted that this material can include diatomaceous earth with an adsorptive surface comprising metal hydroxides, which is considered indistinguishable from the recited DE-coated hydroxide-gel. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Farrah by utilizing the recited metal salt hydroxide-gel and forming the recited DE-coated hydroxide-gel, to aid in removing heavy metals from water. The specific pH and aging of the composition utilized, would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific water treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Claims 28, 31-35, and 39 lack an inventive step under FCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Farrah as above, and further in view of Misra et al.. The claims differ from Farrah by reciting that the metal salt hydroxide-gel comprises lanthamm and iron, and the composition comprises diatomaceous earth, a lanthamm salt, and iron salt, which is contacted with arsenic-containing water. Misra et al. disclose (see col. 4 line 4 through col. 6 line 42, and col. 11 lines 18-37) that it is known in the art to utilize a gelatinous precipitate of lanthamm and ferric hydroxides, to aid in removing arsenic and selenium for water. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method and composition of Farrah by utilizing the recited metal salt hydroxide-gel and composition in view of the teachings of Misra et al., to aid in removing arsenic and selenium from water.

Claim 29 and 30 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Farrah as above, and further in view of Mills. The claims differ from Farrah as applied above by reciting the use of a DE filter bed. Mills disclose (see col. 4 line 56 through col. 8 line 28) that it is known in the art to utilize a diatomaceous earth filter bed, and a composition including diatomaceous earth, aluminum hydroxide, and lanthamm chloride, to aid in filtering water, and in removing contaminants such as dissolved phosphate from water. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Farrah by utilizing the recited filter bed in view of the teachings of Mills, to aid in filtering water, and in separating dissolved contaminants from the water.

Claims 1-39 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not disclose the method steps or compositions recited in the instant claims.

Claims 1-39 have industrial applicability and meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), because the methods can be used in industry to remove arsenic and heavy metals from water.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No.

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

VIII. Camin door the	
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether t	he claims
The following observations on the ciarry of	1
are fully supported by the description, are made:	

Claims 4, 6-8, 15, 24, 29, 36, 37, and 39 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims are indefinite for the following reason(s): In claims 4, 6-8, 15, 24, 29, 36, 37, and 39 "DE" is vague and indefinite because it claims are indefinite for the following reason(s): In claims 4, 6-8, 15, 24, 29, 36, 37, and 39 "DE" is vague and indefinite because it unclear how this term further limits the claims. In claim 24 "diatameous" is erroneous and should be changed to - diatomaceous -.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box VIII) (July 1998)